## The Carbon Mineralization Potential of Ultramafic Rocks in British Columbia: A Preliminary Assessment



Dianne Mitchinson, Jamie Cutts, Dominique Fournier, Annika Naylor, Greg Dipple, Craig Hart, Connor Turvey, Mana Rahimi, Dejan Milidragovic













### www.geosciencebc.com/projects/2018-038

The Carbon Mineralization Potential of Ultramafic Rocks in British Columbia: A Preliminary Assessment https://carbmin.ca

Dianne Mitchinson, Jamie Cutts, Dominique Fournier, Annika Naylor, Greg Dipple, Craig J. R. Hart, Connor Turvey, Mana Rahimi, and Dejan Milidragovic

Geoscience BC Report 2020-15 MDRU Publication 452



CR. CR.







# **Carbon Mineralization Research Project**

The Carbon Mineralization research project seeks to develop practical, long-term, and safe carbon sequestration strategies through three research streams:

SCO<sub>2</sub>UT (Sequestration of CO<sub>2</sub> in Ultramafic Tailings)



SCOUT aims to determine the rate-limiting constraints on carbon mineralization, prioritize strategies for accelerating carbon mineralization, engage the mining industry in carbon sequestration strategies, and demonstrate low-cost carbon sequestration technology.

### CaMP (Carbon Mineralization Potential)



CaMP identifies the locations, distribution, abundances, geometries, and qualities of ultramafic rock bodies that are suitable for carbon mineralization. Once these are mapped and characterized, then the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> that can potentially be mineralized through reaction with these bodies can be quantified.

### CarMA (Carbon Mineralization Analogues)



Natural analogue sites allow for the study of the geochemical and biological transformation of CO<sub>2</sub> at the field-scale in different reaction environments, ranging from weathering at the Earth's surface to hydrothermal alteration within the Earth's crust. Investigations into natural systems further our understanding of the conditions required for efficient carbonation and conditions for long-term stability of CO2 as carbonate minerals.



https://carbmin.com





©CarbMinLab@UBC









## Carbon Mineralization in Ultramafic Rocks

Carbon mineralization offers advantages over gas/liquid storage:

- stable over millennia
- dense
- virtually unlimited capacity (Petatonnes)
- geologic setting differs from "conventional" CCS





## **Geochemical Framework**



CarbMinLab

https://carbmin.com

## Carbon mineralization at operating mines



Cementation of tailings originally deposited as water-sand slurry Mt Keith Nickel Mine, WA, Australia



CarbMinLab

Mineralization of flue gas  $CO_2$  concentrations in real time using mine tailings



40,000 t/year CO<sub>2</sub> 2.4 kg CO<sub>2</sub> / m<sup>2</sup> / year Mt Keith Nickel Mine, WA, Australia



 $CO_2$  direct air capture measured with soil gas chambers 3 kg  $CO_2$  / m<sup>2</sup> / year

11 Mt tailings/yr

# Labile Magnesium for Carbon Capture

## Serpentinites have capacity to react with CO<sub>2</sub> in air.



# **Rock Physical Props Track Alteration**

## Magnetic susceptibility is a proxy for serpentinization and carbonate alteration



FIG. 8. a) Composite photograph of a 2 by 2 meter pavement-type outcrop on the western slope of Monarch Mountain (E 575887, N 6602098, WGS 84). b) Detailed geological map of the listwanite zone mapped at 1:20 scale. Sample locations and section A-B correspond to those of Figure 9. c) Magnetic susceptibility map, made from ca. 1550 measurements, showing the correlation of magnetic susceptibility with mineral content. d) Whole-rock wt% CO<sub>2</sub> map calculated using Eq.



FIG. 9. Measured and calculated wt% CO2 across A-B from Figure 8b. Wt% CO2 is calculated using Eq1 and data on magnetic susceptibility (Fig. 8c). The range in calculated CO<sub>2</sub> content reflects the full range of four measurements of magnetic susceptibility at each location.



### https://carbmin.com

@CarbMinLab@UBC

8

#### (Hansen et al., 2005; This study)

## Physical Properties Model

60'N 132'W 130'W 132'W 130'W 60'N	Insular CPC C WR V	Coast plutonic complex Wrangellia	UMR Locality (Fig. 1)	Locality (general)	Locality (detail)	Total samples	Phys. Prop (UBC)	Phys. Prop (GSC)	qXRD
and the second sec	Intermontan	•	<b>Onhiolitic Rocks</b>						
	SH S	Shuksan	2	Atlin	City proper	49	43	15	23
134WY	BR B	3ridge River	2		Monarch Mtn	137	95	30	119
	CC C	Cache Creek	2		Union Mtn	5	5	3	0
GR'N - DOC CAN		Jaowanaper Shiliwanik	2		Sentinel Peak	1	1	0	1
	HA H	larisson	2		Mt Barham	5	5	2	0
	MT A	Methow	2		Marbla Domo	2	3	2	1
	ок с	Okanagan	2	Nahlin	Hardhuak Donk	2	3	0	1
132'W	ST 5	Stikinia	2	Inallill	Manatutulina Danga	5 45	5	2 17	6
	QN 0	Quesnellie	2		Menatutume Kange	43	43	17	0
SO'N SO'N SO'N	YT Y	fukon-Tanana	5		MIL NIMBUS	1	1	0	0
National Topographic System	SM S	Slide Mountain	3		O Keele-Focus	3	3	2	0
134°W 132°W 130°W	Ancestral N	America	3		Nahlin Mtn.	1	1	0	0
Delition Thur Der	CA C	Cassier	3		Peridotite Peak	2	2	2	2
	AMO L	aurentia (onsneri)	3		Hatin Lake	4	4	0	0
TOAK TOAL TOAL ON THE TOAL OF TOAL		remencie (even)	3		Sunday Peak	2	2	0	0
58°N Bring Barn A Pringe Centre	54"N (	General	N/A	S. Yukon	Jake's Corner/Squanga Lake	16	16	0	2
104G 104H 094E		River	31	Decar	Baptiste	62	60	8	33
		Road	31		Van	15	15	5	8
104b 104A 094D 094C	De 1	Siller Destroyed area	31		Mt. S-W	23	23	10	17
56°N 103P 0024 60500	100	Tan	31		Other	37	36	13	26
	L BERN	52"N	28		Hogem	20	19	4	0
093L 093K 093J 52"N	3		17	King Mtn.	King Mtn.	20	20	10	6
YUKON TERRITORY 54°N	27 (			0	Sub-Total	454	402	123	244
	di la la la		Intrusive Rocks						
	No-67		17	Turnagain	DJ/DB	36	36	0	11
52°N 124 W		000	17	U	Horsetrail	53	53	0	9
	100 120	50'N	17		Cliff	4	4	0	1
Ringeton Martin Ringeton		S. min	17		Highland	5	5	0	0
10922 TO CONTRACT OF ALTER	A NOON	Contraction of the	23	Polaris	Polaris	95	95	Õ	Ő
50°N 082E	COX CON	118°W	25	1 014115	Sub-Total	103	102	0	21
118°W 122'W 120'W 118'W	122"W 1	20°W			Total	647	<u> </u>	123	21
122°W 120°W					i viai	1 דט	575	145	203

CarbMinLab

## https://carbmin.com

## **Physical Properties Model**



CarbMinLab

https://carbmin.com

## Integrating Two Datasets

**Bedrock Geology Map of B.C.** NRCan 200m aeromagnetic data (Nat. Res. Can, 2020) (Cui et al., 2017)

CarbMinLab

### https://carbmin.com

## Classification of Ultramafic Occurrences

					134"W	133*3	ow	133"W	
	Confidence that magnetic anomaly is related to mapped ultramafic unit	Number of polygons represented	Total Area (km²) of mapped polygons within class	NN			X	St.	
ally	High High	78 105	1681 232	59"4		P . R	the last		ON
	High	158	958			Les ,	Alle		59"4
may	Low	39	119			r Start			
nal	Low	38	39		1	X X X	1/	× /	
e	None	95	733		Nº 6		1. 1	28	
	None	210	56	z		No.	1 Al		
	None	23	9	59*20	Treast.	Real P	Constant and	2.15	
	Total	746	3827		134"W	133*30*W	133"W		
				1	A Magnetic B Magnetic C Magnetic D Magnetic	high well-correlated with polygon high extends beyond polygon response patchy within polygon high adjacent to polygon	Reduction to pole (RTP) layer generated from total magnetic grid. This data set is a compilati of data acquired mostly by airborne surveys in Canada, gridded at 200 m. The merged grid w generated by the Geological survey of Canada 2009 - 344 M.1 1024 4020 Dextral fault	on a	
						v oeuennane magnetic response	Extended indus	-	

Very weak or no magnetic response

X Very small polygon, or insignificant response

CarbMinLab

Thrust faul

Lake

A River

Classification	Description	Confidence that magnetic anomaly is related to mapped ultramafic unit	Number of polygons represented	Total Area (km <sup>2</sup> ) of mapped polygons within class
A	Positive magnetic anomaly well-correlated spatially to mapped ultramafic polygon	High	78	1681
В	Positive magnetic anomaly extends beyond mapped ultramafic polygon	High	105	232
С	Irregular or patchy positive magnetic anomaly contained within a mapped ultramafic polygon	High	158	958
D	Polygon with offset positive magnetic anomaly (may be due to the ultramafic or adjacent unit)	Low	39	119
E	Can't isolate/differentiate a distinct magnatic signal from surrounding magnetic material	Low	38	39
F	Very weak to no magnetic signal correlated to the mapped ultramafic polygon	None	95	733
Х	Generally small polygon of varied magnetic response, overall insignificant contribution to ultramafic rock volume	None	210	56
ND	No magnetic data coverage	None	23	9
		Total	746	3827

## https://carbmin.com

# Distribution and Abundance of Serpentinite **CarbMinLab**

Forty-six percent of mapped ultramafic bodies are associated with magnetic anomalies indicating substantial serpentinization.



## Inverse Modeling of Serpentinite Bodies **CarbMinLab**





@CarbMinLab@UBC

### https://carbmin.com

## Inverse Modeling of Serpentinite Bodies





CarbMinLab



https://carbmin.com

@CarbMinLab@UBC

# Areas and Volumes of Serpentinite in B.C. Contemporation



Locality Name	Ultramafic	Polygon area	Volume related to High sus volume High sus		High sus volume	High sus volume	High sus volume	High sus volume
	Locality	captured by	polygons	from inversions	from inversions	from inversions	from inversions	from inversions
		A, B, C only	thick)	(top 0.3 km - median)	(top 1 km - median)	(top 2 km - median)	(top 4 km - median)	(lun deptii median)
Unit		km <sup>2</sup>	km <sup>3</sup>	km <sup>3</sup>	km <sup>3</sup>	km <sup>3</sup>	km <sup>3</sup>	km <sup>3</sup>
Atlin	2	60	30	6	21	50	56	56
Sylvester Allochthon	9	8	4	0	2	8	13	13
Sylvester Allochthon	10	54	27	1	11	43	57	57
Dease Lake	17	413	207	4	88	596	1375	1414
West Hogem Batholith	28/29	168	84	26	89	267	534	534
West Hogem Batholith	30	100	50	12	42	143	354	354
Trembleur/Decar	31	248	124	37	153	449	826	840
Bridge River	42	299	150	17	58	199	493	501
Totals		1351	675	102	464	1754	3708	3769
Factor of 2.13 to give total A, B, C polygons for BC		2878 km <sup>2</sup>	1438 km <sup>3</sup>	218 km <sup>3</sup>	988 km <sup>3</sup>	3736 km <sup>3</sup>	7898 km <sup>3</sup>	8028 km <sup>3</sup>

### In-situ targets all Mg



Power et al 2013 after Kelemen and Matter, 2008

### Ex-situ uses labile Mg



### https://carbmin.com

## Labile Mg Content of Serpentinite

Labile Mg content variability within the Baptiste Deposit, Decar Ni District, Central B.C.





### https://carbmin.com

### ©CarbMinLab@UBC

# **Carbon Mineralization Capacity**





@CarbMinLab@UBC

Depth Interval (km)	Serpentinite Volume	Sequestration Capacity	Method
	$(Km^2)$	$(Gt CO^{-})$	
0 to 1	988	56	ex-situ
0 to 2	3,689	210	ex-situ
2 to 4	4,162	5,139	in-situ
2 to full depth	4,292	5,300	in-situ

CarbMinLab

### https://carbmin.com

## **Ex-Situ** Carbon Mineralization



### https://carbmin.com

### ©CarbMinLab@UBC

#### (Vanderzee et al., 2019)

# Decarbonize Ni Supply Chain

Reaction of labile Mg in 30% of tailings will offset mine GHG emissions Reaction of more than 30% could contribute to net carbon removal



CarbMinLab

FPX Nickel Corp.

## Results



- Serpentinized ultramafic rocks can be highly reactive to CO<sub>2</sub> in air at ambient conditions.
- Creates an opportunity to use mine tailings to capture and store CO<sub>2</sub>, reducing or eliminating GHG emissions of mine operations.
- The amount of CO<sub>2</sub> storage is governed by labile Mg content which is controlled by mineral content.
- Key changes in mineral content change bulk rock physical properties which allows magnetic and gravity survey data to serve as proxies for carbon storage prospectivity.
- A comprehensive physical properties model based on B.C. occurrences of ophiolitic rocks is complete and underway for intrusive ultramafics.
- B.C. ultramafic occurrences are ranked based on geophysical response.
- Forty-six percent of mapped ultramafic bodies have significant zones of serpentinization.
- Inverse modeling of serpentinite body magnetic data offers insights into size, geometry and location but also provides challenges.
- Preliminary conservative estimate is that shallow serpentinite bodies have labile Mg content sufficient to sequester 56 Gt of CO<sub>2</sub> – 800 years of B.C. emissions.

## Improve Inverse Modeling





CarbMinLab



https://carbmin.com

@CarbMinLab@UBC

## **Carbon Mineralization Potential Atlas**





CarbMinLab

### https://carbmin.com

This

Distribution-Distant to allow

(keypentrepation Carlorator

Key References

Distant

(CP)

## **Carbon Mineralization Potential Map**



CarbMinLab

https://carbmin.com

# Questions?